



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,884	12/15/2003	James T. Kallas	RMI 03274	1018

7590 11/08/2004

John J. Cunniff Esq.
Renner, Kenner, Greive, Bobak, Taylor & Weber
First National Tower, Fourth Floor
Akron, OH 44308-1456

EXAMINER

PRICE, RICHARD THOMAS JR

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3643

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/734,884

Applicant(s)

KALLAS, JAMES T. 

Examiner

Thomas Price

Art Unit

3643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2004.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05-25-2004.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 5-25-2004 has been considered by the Examiner, and a copy of the initialed PTO-1449 is attached herewith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hellebusch U.S. Patent 3,753,270.

Hellebusch teaches a fish and game holding board which is structurally similar to the Applicant's claimed fish cleaning device. More specifically, the device of Hellebusch includes a base 10 having an upper and lower surface as seen in Figures 1 and 2. One or more arms 24 and 25, each having a first end 33 and a second end opposite the first end and unnumbered. The first end 33 is pivotally attached (about 26) to said base 10. A clamp securing member and clamp 34 and 27 are pivotally attached to said second end. Regarding claim 2, the arms 24 and 25 are pivotally attached to said base 10 at approximately the mid-point of the length of the base 10 as seen in Figure 1. As for claims 4 and 5, element 26 is considered to be one or more legs which extend laterally from the lower surface of said base 10. In regards to claim 6, the grid surface 20 is considered to be indented grooves between adjacently positioned wires.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hellebusch.

Hellebusch teaches a method of cleaning fish, the method including providing a fish cleaning device, securing a fish to the clamp (adjusting nut 46 and moving rod 42 towards elements 35), positioning the fish so that it lies flat on the upper surface of said base (see Figure 2), and cleaning a first side of said fish. However, Hellebusch does not discuss flipping the fish onto its second side for cleaning, although it is possible to do this with the device of Hellebusch. The opposed arms 24 and 25 are able to be rotated about element 26 through a 180 degree angle. As a result, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rotate the arms such that the fish to be cleaned can be positioned on its opposite side such that the second side can be cleaned. Regarding claim 8, column 4, paragraph 4, teaches removing the head and tail portions of the fish. However, there is no discussion of gutting the fish. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made removes the innards of a fish or gutting, thus the term filleting. In regards to claims 9 and 10, Hellebusch teaches scaling, skinning and filleting the fish. Regarding claim 12,

Art Unit: 3643

to clamp the tail of the fish as opposed to the head of the fish would be an obvious matter of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made depending on the preference of the cleaner, further, the specification speaks of no criticality to this step, such that this feature cannot be relied upon for patentability.


Prior Art of Record

The prior art of record shows fish fillet board having a clamp securing device attached to the board. These devices are used for scaling, tailing, gutting and filleting a fish.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Price whose telephone number is 703-308-2694. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30a.m. to 5:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703-308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Thomas Price
Primary Examiner GAU: 3643

rtp